

Appendix 3ii - Summary of Responses to Chancery Lane consultation

	<b>Negative Responses</b>
1	Increased journey times and issues with uber drivers and taxis not accessing the street.
2	The scheme is unnecessary as there is little traffic and pavements are wide enough for the number of pedestrians.
3	The scheme will restrict access to residential properties.
4	The scheme is unnecessary as there is little traffic and will be inconvenient to local people.
5	The scheme will create greater congestion and pollution in the wider area.
6	Motor traffic should have priority.
7	Taxis require access to Chancery Lane.
8	The scheme does not allow Uber hire vehicles.
9	The scheme will create congestion on Fetter Lane and increase journey times for professional drivers.
10	Questioned the merit of the scheme.
11	The scheme will create congestion on Fetter Lane and increase journey times for professional drivers.
12	Concerns about restricted access to Rolls buildings.
13	The scheme will create greater congestion and pollution in the wider area.
14	The scheme will restrict access to residential properties.
15	The scheme will restrict access to residential properties in the Lincoln Square area.
16	Retaining access for taxis will not reduce traffic sufficiently to benefit people walking.
17	The scheme discriminates against drivers of electric vehicles.
18	The scheme discriminates against taxis.
19	Cyclists should be encouraged to cycle elsewhere.
20	The scheme will create greater congestion and pollution in the wider area.
21	Taxis should be restricted as well.
22	Taxis should be restricted as well.
	<b>Positive Responses</b>
1	Positive response about looking forward to changes.
2	The scheme will improve air quality.
3	Positive support but requested speed controls for cyclists.
4	Positive support but delivery vehicles parking on the street are dangerous for cyclists.
5	Positive support but requested that taxis are restricted as well.
6	Positive comments particularly about supporting cyclists.
7	Positive comments about promoting active travel.
8	The street should be pedestrianised.
9	Access for taxis is supported and extended elsewhere in the City.
10	Access for taxis is supported.
11	Positive comments particularly about supporting cyclists.

12	Access for taxis is supported.
13	Positive support but pedestrianisation and cycle lanes would be a greater improvement.
14	Positive support but traffic restrictions for taxis and loading restrictions should be greater.
15	The changes will improve the amenity of the area.
16	The scheme will improve air quality.